

Message

---

**From:** Kenknight, Jeff [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=803CDA0C34F14C298367A1C90825EF16-KENKNIGHT, JEFF]  
**Sent:** 6/4/2020 3:36:12 PM  
**To:** Martinson, Mathew [Martinson.Mathew@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed Talking Points for Mat and Jeff - June 4 2020

I think Eric had looked at that issue.

---

**From:** Martinson, Mathew <martinson.mathew@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 04, 2020 8:32 AM  
**To:** Kenknight, Jeff <Kenknight.Jeff@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed Talking Points for Mat and Jeff - June 4 2020

One thing I was trying to chase down this morning – just to be prepared for questions – related to the 255 wells tested through the synoptic events. One of the briefing papers said that those wells consisted of the 56 real-estate transaction database wells and the 72 wells that are part of the LUB GWMA network.

The part that didn't make sense was the involvement of the 56 wells. I could imagine question like this: so were the synoptic wells samples of 255 unique and distinct wells or repeats of the same wells? I'd like to be in a position to better explain. Is Peter the authority on this question? Eric?

I can run it down myself, with a little reading, but thought someone else would know off the top of their head.

Thanks,  
Mat

*Mathew J. Martinson, P.E., BCEE*  
CAPT, USPHS  
Chief, Permitting, Drinking Water and Infrastructure Branch  
U.S. EPA, Region 10  
Phone: 206-553-6334 (Direct)  

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

 (Cell)

---

**From:** Kenknight, Jeff <Kenknight.Jeff@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 4, 2020 8:13 AM  
**To:** Martinson, Mathew <martinson.mathew@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed Talking Points for Mat and Jeff - June 4 2020

Thanks Mat. This looks good. I did modify my final paragraph a bit. Trying not to fan the flames.

**JEFF:**

In terms of current status, the State agencies are anticipating a summary letter, and I suspect, continued discussion. The letter was drafted in early May has been prepared for our Acting ECAD Director's signature. At this time, we hope that through a productive continued engagement. It appears as though the State agencies likely have not had a continued focus on the risk associated with private wells, as most of the discussion and focus within DEQ and GWMA circles seems to be around sources of contamination and efforts to mitigate further pollution of the aquifer. Based on conversations to date we anticipate an intention to cooperate and a willingness to hear EPA's concerns.

Clearly, we understand that 1431 is an authority that could be used but we believe a number different avenues should be explored before that discussion begins and would be done in consultation with OECA.

---

**From:** Martinson, Mathew <[martinson.mathew@epa.gov](mailto:martinson.mathew@epa.gov)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 03, 2020 6:11 PM

**To:** Opalski, Dan <[Opalski.Dan@epa.gov](mailto:Opalski.Dan@epa.gov)>; Davies, Lauris <[Davies.Lauris@epa.gov](mailto:Davies.Lauris@epa.gov)>; Kenknight, Jeff <[Kenknight.Jeff@epa.gov](mailto:Kenknight.Jeff@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Proposed Talking Points for Mat and Jeff - June 4 2020

**Importance:** High

As promised, see attached. Let me know if you have any recommendations. I've sent the link to my OneDrive location so you can mark-up.

Mat



**Mathew J. Martinson, P.E., BCEE**

CAPT, U.S. Public Health Service

Chief | Permits, Drinking Water and Infrastructure Branch

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10

1200 Sixth Avenue; Suite 155, Mail Stop 19-H16

Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206) 553-6334

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (cell)

---